

2016 CoC Project Ranking Methodology

1. All projects were given a numeric score based upon the FY 2016 HCCSC Scoring Criteria and Score Sheet approved by the HCCSC at the July 12, 2016 HCCSC Board meeting. The scoring awarded points for:
 - a. severity of need/vulnerability of those to be served as measured by average SPDAT score
 - b. Serving populations targeted in Opening Doors
 - c. Compliance with HEARTH regulations, central intake and assessment (CI & A) policies and HUD expectations such as housing first implementation, adoption of low barriers, linking to mainstream benefits, up to date policies and procedures that reflect compliance with CI & A
 - d. Performance outcomes
 - e. Budget – cost per client, amount of leverage, budget’s emphasis on housing provision and operations rather than services
 - f. Findings during monitoring (renewal projects only) and
 - g. Participation with HCCSC and commitment to staff development
 - h. Bonus points were earned for attending the application workshop
2. Legacy projects (those renewal projects that have been funded for several years and have had an annual performance report submitted) were ranked highest to lowest, according to scores.
3. Non-legacy projects (those renewal projects that have not had an annual performance report submitted) were ranked in score order below the legacy projects.
4. The only exception to the above ranking was for the lowest ranking project, which was ranked last in Tier I with the remainder to be ranked in Tier II.
5. An RFP was issued for reallocated funding (from the lowest ranking Tier I project) in the amount of \$85,000 and bonus funding in the amount of \$130,334. These were scored utilizing the same scoring form. The highest ranked of the project was recommended for reallocated funding plus \$14,510 of bonus funding. The remaining two (2) projects from the same organization, were recommended to be combined into the available remaining bonus funding of \$115,824.